



REGIONAL PARK COMMITTEE MEETING
C. V. Starr Community Center
300 South Lincoln Street
Fort Bragg, CA 95437
Wednesday, November 8, 2017
11:00 a.m.

Minutes

1.0. CALL TO ORDER

- Meeting called to order at 11:01 a.m.
- Committee members present: Bob Bushansky, Sarah Huff, John Huff

2.0 APPROVAL AND ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Items to be removed from or changes to the agenda should be done at this time

- Agenda was adopted with no changes
- M/S/C: John Huff/Bob Bushansky/Unanimous

3.0 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION-NON AGENDA ITEMS

A maximum of 3 minutes is reserved for members of the public to address the Board on items not listed on the agenda and a total time for public input on a particular issue is limited to 20 minutes (Government Code 54954.3). The Board is prohibited from discussion or taking action on matters not on the agenda, but may briefly respond or ask a question for clarification (Government Code 54954.2).

4.0 DISCUSSION/ACTION

4.1 State of California, 2017 OHV Planning and Restoration Grant Programs

- Sarah Huff: Expressed concerns with the procurement process and wants to know what happened next time there is a bid process and Arrow files yet another protest, as it appears as if Arrow Fencing has a history of this type of business practice. She feels like in this case, the tail is wagging the dog and the District is not in control of the project.
- Bob Bushansky: The State has provided MCRPD with a warning and the procurement process was too vague. Believes sealed bid process will provide more transparency.
- Jason Silva: Responded to concerns regarding cost of materials and has ideas regarding a revised fence design.
- Bob Bushansky: Believes DSC submitted a non-conforming proposal.
- Jason Silva: Has concerns regarding a re-bid in that their design is out for all to see.
- John Huff: Spoke to the issue of re-bidding and believes the only flex is wages.
- Bob Bushansky: Method of clearing was chosen to satisfy environmentalists and requires use of inmates which could cause concern.
- Jason Silva: Suggests using a verbiage in the bid process that addresses strength of beams.
- Bob Bushansky: Recommends incorporating a pre bid meeting.
- John Huff: Is not certain the District needs to re-bid the project and identified a number of concerns.
- Bob Bushansky: Feels like were beating a dead horse because of the State position on this matter and thinks we need to change our procurement process.
- Jason Silva: Expressed concerns regarding Arrow Fencing contractor's license and their ability to provide adequate service.
- Dan Keyes: Summarized conversations with MCRPD Legal as it relates to this project.
- Sarah Huff: Stated she has concerns with the history of Arrow Fencing's business practices.
- Dan Keyes: Explained the merits of a sealed bid process and how those attributes could negate concerns for future protest.
- Jason Silva: Responded to cost of maintenance for future fence repairs.

- Bob Bushansky: Has questions about the upcoming Board meeting and whether or not this discussion needs to occur during closed session. Went on to explain slap suits.
- John Huff: Believes Arrow Fencing would file a law suit on both the District and the State and wanted to understand the Districts default position.
- Sarah Huff: Discussed the history of Arrow Fencing.
- John Huff: The District has time to discuss situation with MCRPD Legal. Expressed concerns regarding potential maintenance expense and provided examples of past acts of vandalism and pointed out the limits people will go to in order to get what they want.
- Sarah Huff: Has concerns regarding future audit and expenses associated with the repairs of the fence project.
- Bob Bushansky: Believes the District needs to prove beyond measure the project is transparent and above reproach.
- John Huff: Will contact Georgia Pacific re: obtaining history of illegal access and land abuse by OHV.
- A general discussion was held regarding future maintenance and expenses associated with the proposed fence project.
- Bob Bushansky: Talked about obtaining justification for future design of the fence.
- Dan Keyes: Had questions about timing as it relates to obtaining certain portions of supplemental information.
- Bob Bushansky: Responded by saying that he believes the District need to provide justification to the State to help obtain the required support.
- Sarah Huff: Summarized process for the District to submit a response to the State for further consideration.
- Sarah Huff: Defined the term stakeholder in terms of the grant project. State believes the stakeholders should be persons with a vested interest in the project and thinks the definition as defined by MIG Inc. should be used. Had questions about adding to the Stakeholders list.
- The Committee had questions about the ownership of the College.
- Dan Keyes: Suggested for the committee members to review the list, add to it as they feel necessary and then the proposed lists can be cross checked at the following meeting.
- Sarah Huff: Spoke briefly about the land and Water Conservation Fund Grant Program as possible means of constructing a future boardwalk at Regional Park the through the Pygmy forest. The grant is a reimbursement style funding mechanism and she wasn't sure if the District could front a large amount of money for a period of 90 days.
- General questions regarding use of generator on site and scheduling of stakeholders meeting.

5.0 ADJOURNMENT

- The meeting was adjourned at 12:30 p.m.

*Minutes recorded and submitted by Danny L. Keyes, District Administrator MCRPD/CV Starr Community Center.